ECCLESFIELD SCHOOL



Pupil Premium Strategy Statement 2024/27

This statement details our school's use of pupil premium (and recovery premium) funding to help improve the attainment of our disadvantaged pupils.

It outlines our pupil premium strategy, how we intend to spend the funding in this academic year and the outcomes for disadvantaged pupils last academic year.

School overview

Detail	Data
Number of pupils in school	1726
	02/12/2024
Proportion (%) of pupil premium eligible pupils	506 (29.44%)
	02/12/2024
Academic year/years that our current pupil premium strategy plan covers (3-year plans are recommended – you must still publish an updated statement each academic year)	2024 to 2027
Date this statement was published	December 2024
Date on which it will be reviewed	Annual
Statement authorised by	Richard Walkden (Headteacher)
Pupil premium lead	Ryan Willson (Assistant Headteacher)
Governor / Trustee lead	Katy Davison

Funding overview

Detail	Amount
Pupil premium funding allocation this academic year	£495,600
Pupil premium funding carried forward from previous years (enter £0 if not applicable)	£0
Total budget for this academic year	£495,600
If your school is an academy in a trust that pools this funding, state the amount available to your school this academic year	

Part A: Pupil premium strategy plan

Statement of intent

Our Mission for all Disadvantaged Students:

'For every disadvantaged student to get the support they need to regularly access a broad range of skills, qualifications, and experiences alongside their peers to raise aspirations.

To have high expectations of themselves to exceed their personal and academic potential.'

At Ecclesfield School we are committed to improving the life chances and choices of our disadvantaged and vulnerable students through excellent teaching and learning and a no excuses culture. We believe that our whole school **ENABLE** strategy will address the barriers to learning faced by many of our disadvantaged students.

Our PP strategy was rewritten in 2024 to weave through the 2024/25 School Improvement Plan, which puts tackling disadvantage as a Key Priority. All staff must know their role in addressing educational disadvantages and have a firm belief that all students can attain well, irrespective of background or the challenges they face.

Many barriers to learning were exacerbated by the Coronavirus pandemic and continue to be so for all children – but especially for many of our more disadvantaged students. We accept that many children who do not qualify for the Pupil Premium funding, especially following the pandemic and during the cost-of-living crisis, are vulnerable. Furthermore, more and more children are classed as vulnerable either with an identified SEND, in need of help and protection, or receiving support from a social worker. With this in mind, we will allocate resources and funding.

We believe that assessment of need and not assumption by 'label' is crucial to addressing the disadvantaged gap at Ecclesfield School. There are often complex factors which prevent our most vulnerable students from accessing learning. Through the removal of critical barriers such as low literacy levels and by developing 'belonging' through enrichment and targeted support, we seek to improve wellbeing, attendance, and therefore consistent access to the curriculum.

High quality teaching is at the heart of our approach, with a focus on areas in which disadvantaged students require the most support. This is proven to have the greatest impact on closing the disadvantage attainment gap and at the same time will benefit the non- disadvantaged students in our school. Implicit in the intended outcomes detailed below, is the intention that non-disadvantaged students' attainment will be sustained and improved alongside progress for their disadvantaged peers.

Our **ENABLE strategy** is threaded through the **EEFs tiered approach**. We will allocate funding using research-based approaches and through carefully selected **high quality teaching**, **targeted academic support** and **wider approaches** based on diagnostic assessment of need.

Challenges

This details the key challenges to achievement that we have identified among our disadvantaged pupils.

Challenge number	Detail of challenge	
1. Attainment in English and Math's	Progress and attainment are generally lower for disadvantaged students than that of their peers, particularly in English and Maths.	
	Summer 2024 <i>Progress 8</i> - Non disadvantaged: 0.00 / Disadvantaged: -0.81 <i>Attainment 8</i> – Non disadvantaged: 4.68 / Disadvantaged: 3.17	
	<i>9-7 Math's & English</i> - Non disadvantaged: 11% / Disadvantaged: 1%	
	9-5 Math's & English - Non disadvantaged: 47% / Disadvantaged: 19%	
	<i>9-4 Math's & English</i> - Non disadvantaged: 70% / Disadvan- taged: 36%	
	Attainment upon entry to Year 7 indicates that over the last three years, disadvantaged students scored significantly lower than their non- disadvantaged peers in terms of age- related expectations (score of 100) in reading and Math's. This trend continues throughout school.	
	SATS - students with scores below 100 (below age-related expecta- tions)	
	 2024 Math's Y7: 46% disadvantaged v 24% non- disadvantaged 2024 Reading Y7: 36% disadvantaged v 23% non- disadvantaged. 	
	 2023 Math's Y7: 46% disadvantaged v 20% non-disadvantaged 2023 Reading Y7: 33% disadvantaged v 21% non-disadvantaged. 	
	 2022 Math's Y7: 42% disadvantaged v 22% non-disad- vantaged 	
	 2022 Reading Y7: 32% disadvantaged v 15% non-disad- vantaged. 	
2. Literacy	The reading ages of students are assessed using STAR throughout the school. This is followed up by SSRT tests for some students.	
	There is still a significant gap between the PP and Non-PP students who are reading at the expected age.	

	TERM 1 2024 2025				
		Reading ag	e at or abo	ve expected %	
		Non PP	PP	Difference	
	¥7	71	49	-22	
	Y8	82	55	-27	
	Y9	78	57	-21	
	Y10	88	48	-40	
	Y11	91	60	-31	
	identified include Fr on the mo We have a focussing 2025 we we theme run teaching s their abilit have show students. own and m had the o and so de	as requiring esh Start, Lo odel used by a whole sche on oracy to will move ou ning throug students stra ty to compre- vn this to ha As PP child read at hom pportunity to students. Es	urgent in exia, and a derts for support v ir whole so the our rea- tategies an exert write ave a signi- ren are les e with fan o develop se in schoo	a reading fluen Learning). y strategy. Curviting and emo chool focus to r ding focus will d techniques th ten texts. Stud ificant impact of ss likely to owr hily members, t these strategie ol will have an	n STAR tests. These acy program (based rrently we are ptional literacy. In reading. A central
3. Metacognition and Self-Regulation	ing highl metacog independ	ations in classrooms and staff voice during remote learn- nlighted that many disadvantaged students lack the gnitive / self-regulation strategies required to be more ident. Disadvantaged students also tend to have less es to call upon when faced with challenging tasks.			
	to impro school's lent amo	ve in their le desired beha	earning an aviours loo antaged st	d to demonstration d to demonstration demonstra Demonstration demonstration demonstration demonstration demonstration demonstration demonstration demonstration d	ling to know how ate what the particularly preva- evident in the gaps
	ulation a	nd using fee	edback are		cognition/self-reg- rongest methods nged students.
4. Belonging	Disadvan to have k approach peers. Th	taged stude ower literacy to learning iis cycle can	nts at Ecc / levels, hi grades ar lead to lo	lesfield School gher behaviou nd lower attend w self-esteem	or all students. are more likely r points, lower lance than their and negativity ney belong to the

school community and this spirals into a lack of interest and engagement in school and learning.

Addressing literacy, access to school support (including financial and social/emotional) and providing enrichment could increase confidence, self- esteem, and lead to improved attendance. When students are in school consistently, they are accessing learning and are more likely to make progress and feel successful – this increases a sense of belonging and engagement in learning –fostering a sense of independence and motivation to achieve.

Wellbeing

Our assessments and discussions with students and families have identified social and emotional issues for many students, such as anxiety and low self- esteem. These instances became more prevalent during the pandemic and have continued since then. For some students, their anxiety is driven by concerns around learning; for others it is social concerns or the effect that lockdown/isolation has had on both our young people, young people's development, and their families. We are noticing that more and more students are struggling with their well-being, and these challenges particularly affect disadvantaged students, including their attendance, behaviour, and sense of 'belonging' to the school community.

Behaviour

Comparing the last full academic year (2023-2024), disadvantaged students' behaviour incidents increased by 146%, whereas non-disadvantaged increased by 154%. Targeting disadvantaged and SEND students for mentoring and wellbeing support have supported this decrease.

The number of suspensions for disadvantaged students has increased for the 2023-24 academic year compared to the previous year. More students & incidents of suspension for disadvantaged students.

Enrichment

During lockdown, most school enrichment stopped. This widened gaps to participation for all, but especially disadvantaged students. Enrichment within the curriculum and beyond (such as trips) can build essential relationships, confidence, vocabulary and cultural capital that all, but especially disadvantaged students, need to flourish. Enrichment can help to foster a strong sense of belonging for students.

Since the re-introduction of a single lunch post-Pandemic, we have worked hard to establish a new, wider range of lunchtime and enrichment clubs, in addition to those more traditionally run after school. Despite student voice driving many of the offered, participation for disadvantaged students is lower than non-disadvantaged students.

5. AttendanceAttendance data over the last two years indicates that absence among disadvantaged students has been between 9% and 10% higher than for non- disadvantaged students.Over the last two years, 51.56% (2022-23) and 49.91% (2023- 24) of disadvantaged students have been 'persistently absent' compared with 28.88% (2022-2023) and 27.17% (2023-24), up		2023-24: 20% gap in participation between disadvantaged students and their peers.Engagement in curricular and extra-curricular trips and visits has also been proportionately lower for disadvantaged students, despite policy and funding to support wider access.
 to half term 5) for 'whole school'. Poor attendance is often the symptom of other barriers. At Eccles-field school, there is a close link between poor attendance, low literacy (reading, vocabulary, comprehension and being able to articulate learning, thoughts, and feelings) and higher behaviour incidents. Lower literacy levels prevent students from accessing the curriculum fully and can make them feel different from 'those who can'. When learning is increasingly difficult to access, low self-esteem and behaviours which incur sanction can be more prevalent, which in turn affects belonging to the school. More disadvantaged students. This must be addressed. Poor attendance is therefore often the symptom of other barriers to learning. We must address literacy and belonging effectively to be able to 	5. Attendance	 among disadvantaged students has been between 9% and 10% higher than for non- disadvantaged students. Over the last two years, 51.56% (2022-23) and 49.91% (2023-24) of disadvantaged students have been 'persistently absent' compared with 28.88% (2022-2023) and 27.17% (2023-24), up to half term 5) for 'whole school'. Poor attendance is often the symptom of other barriers. At Ecclesfield school, there is a close link between poor attendance, low literacy (reading, vocabulary, comprehension and being able to articulate learning, thoughts, and feelings) and higher behaviour incidents. Lower literacy levels prevent students from accessing the curriculum fully and can make them feel different from 'those who can'. When learning is increasingly difficult to access, low self-esteem and behaviours which incur sanction can be more prevalent, which in turn affects belonging to the school. More disadvantaged students. This must be addressed. Poor attendance is therefore often the symptom of other barriers to learning.

Intended outcomes

This explains the outcomes we are aiming for **by the end of our current strategy plan** (2027), and how we will measure whether they have been achieved.

Intended outcome	Success criteria
Improved outcomes for disadvantaged students, including in English and Maths .	 2024/25 KS4 outcomes demonstrate that disadvantaged students achieve: Average Attainment 8 score of 3.17 Progress 8 score between -0.81 English: 48% 4+ and 30% 5+ Maths : 42% 4+ and 25% 5+
	ASPIRATIONAL TARGET
	Average Attainment 8 score of 4

	 English: 65% 4+ and 40% 5+ Maths : 65% 4+ and 40% 5+
Improved reading, comprehension, vocabulary and oracy for disadvantaged students	 STAR reading/comprehension tests demonstrate gaps narrowing between disadvantaged students and their non-disadvantaged peers. Oracy (working with Voice 21) benchmarks and observation indicates that classroom talk is embedded. Student and staff surveys show that confidence for classroom talk has increased, and that passivity has decreased. Quality assurance and staff voice report that disciplinary literacy is embedded across the curriculum.
Improve and sustain attendance for all students, and especially for disadvantaged students	 Sustained attendance from 2024/25, demonstrated by: Overall absence rate for all students is no more than 9% and below national PA%. The attendance gap between disadvantaged students and their non- disadvantaged peers is reduced to no more than 8% and continues to narrow. The percentage of all students who are persistently absent (PA) is below 21% and below the national PA% for disadvantaged students. PA for disadvantaged students gap to be narrowed
Improved metacognitive and self- regulatory skills among disadvantaged students	 Staff voice and classroom observations suggest disadvantaged students are more able to monitor and regulate their learning. Stakeholder voice shows better understanding of attitude to learning criteria, target setting, desired behaviours, and understanding of reports. Strategies to help plan, monitor and evaluate specific aspects of learning are across the curriculum offer. Reduction in the gap between ATL averages of disadvantaged and non-disadvantaged students are becoming more independent, successful learners.
To achieve and sustain improved wellbeing for all pupils, including those who are disadvantaged.	 Sustained high levels of wellbeing from 2024/25 demonstrated by: qualitative data from student/tutor voice, student and parent surveys and teacher observations. a significant increase in participation in enrichment activities, particularly among disadvantaged pupils.

Improve and sustain behaviour for disadvantaged students	 Sustained behaviour from 2024/25, demonstrated by: Achievement points outweigh Behaviour points for disadvantaged students. Behaviour points and suspensions of disadvantaged students are within 5% of their peers. Reduction in the % of disadvantaged students repeating internal reflection. Introduction of class charts to support this.
Improve and sustain disadvantaged student uptake at enrichment clubs and trips, leading to an increase in 'belonging' to the school community.	 Sustained participation from 2024/25, demonstrated by: A significant increase in participation in enrichment activities, particularly among disadvantaged pupils. PP funding bids support an increase in the breadth of enrichment for disadvantaged students. Qualitative data from student voice, student and parent surveys and teacher observations.

Activity in this academic year

This details how we intend to spend our pupil premium (and recovery premium) funding **this academic year** to address the challenges listed above.

Teaching (for example, CPD, recruitment and retention)

Budgeted cost: £247,800

Activity	Evidence that supports this approach	Challenge number(s) addresse d
Purchase of standardised diagnostic assessments e.g. STAR reading/ comprehension test, Lucid Exact (access arrangements).	Standardised tests can provide reliable insights into the specific strengths and weaknesses of students to help ensure they receive the correct additional support through interventions or teacher instruction: <u>Standardised tests</u> Assessing and Monitoring Pupil <u>Progress</u> Education Endowment Foundation EEF	1, 2
Enhancement of Science,	https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/educationendowmentfoundationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/educationendowmentfoundationendow	1

Humanities and ALP curriculum planning. We will fund Science and Humanities leaders release time to work with specialists and curriculum support / specialist consultants.	Humanities and Science CL and Lead Teacher(s) as well as ALP CL to work with Minerva Curriculum trust and School Improvement Partner to further develop the Humanities and Science curriculum and teacher expertise.	
<i>Explicitly</i> <i>develop</i> <i>high-</i> <i>quality</i> <i>oracy</i> <i>teaching</i> <i>practices,</i> <i>with sup-</i> <i>port from</i> <i>'promising</i> <i>project'</i> <i>Voice 21,</i> <i>in line with</i> <i>recommen-</i> <i>dations in</i> <i>the EEF</i> <i>Improving</i> <i>Literacy in</i> <i>Secondary</i> <i>Schools</i> <i>guidance.</i> <i>This will in-</i> <i>volve Voice</i> <i>21 subscrip-</i> <i>tion, staffing</i> <i>(two Voice</i> <i>21 champi-</i> <i>ons plus SLT</i> <i>Strategic</i> <i>Lead),</i> <i>teacher train-</i> <i>ing and sup-</i> <i>port / release</i> <i>time.</i>	Engaging in high-quality oracy practices during lessons deepens understanding and is linked with improved test scores and exam grades as well as greater knowledge retention, vocabulary acquisition and reasoning skills. The Education Endowment Foundation's (EEF) trials of oral language interventions in schools have demonstrated that pupils make approximately five months additional progress over a year, rising to six months for students from disadvantaged backgrounds. https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/educatio n-evidence/teaching-learning-toolkit/oral-language- interventions Oral language interventions have some similarity to ap- proaches based on Metacognition (which make talk about learning explicit in classrooms) and can be developed together.	1, 2, 3
<i>Improving disciplinary literacy in all subject areas in line with</i>	Acquiring disciplinary literacy is key for students as they learn new, more complex concepts in each subject: <u>Improving Literacy in Secondary Schools</u>	1, 2

recommenda- tions in the EEF <u>Improv-</u> ing Literacy in <u>Secondary</u> <u>Schools</u> guid- ance. We will fund professional develop- ment fo- cused on curriculum areas, re- sources and teacher re- lease time to support planning, as required.	Reading comprehension, vocabulary and other literacy skills are heavily linked with attainment in Maths and English: word-gap.pdf (oup.com.cn	
Staff devel- opment, re- sources, and release time, as ap- propriate, around our key teaching and learning principles, key priorities and curricu- lum and knowledge- development	Using the EEF guidance on effective professional develop- ment, but also maintaining key priorities from the school development plan https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/education- evidence/guidance-reports/effective-professional-develop- ment Feedback and assessment https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/education- evidence/guidance-reports/feedback ATL Criteria, Data Input, and Reporting Metacognition and Self-regulated Learning EEF Metacognition and self-regulation EEF Teacher Walkthru https://www.walkthrus.co.uk/gen- eral-6 (including ECT support, as required) Literacy https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/education- evidence/guidance-reports/literacy-ks3-ks4 Voice 21, Deliberate Vocabulary Development (Spring 24) and further development work on Disciplinary Literacy (Autumn 24) SEND	1, 2, 3

	https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/education-evidence/guidance-reports/send Metacognition / Self-Regulation https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/education-evidence/guidance-reports/metacognition Curriculum, knowledge, and principles of instruction: https://www.teachertoolkit.co.uk/wp-content/up-loads/2018/10/Principles-of-Insruction-Rosenshine.pdf Pupil Premium https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/guidance-	
	for-teachers/using-pupil-premium Addressing Educational Disadvantage in Schools and Col- leges: The Essex Way (Marc Rowland).	
Acceler- ated Reader: Develop- ing read- ing hab- its AR pro- gramme, staffing, admin and lead staff time re- quired.	All Year 7 and 8 students access an AR library lesson each fortnight where their teacher guides, engages, and grows students as readers through dedicated practice and quiz time. Weekly prizes for engagement and longer- term rewards for regular reading; support for those not engaging as often. <u>https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/pro- jects- and-evaluation/projects/accelerated-reader</u>	1, 2
<i>Whole class reading:</i> <i>Class Reads as part of Form Time</i>	Form groups reading together twice each week, sup- ported by Form Tutors, to access and enjoy reading a range of texts. Reading comprehension strategies can have a positive impact on pupils' ability to understand a text: <u>Reading comprehension strategies Toolkit Strand Ed-</u> ucation Endowment Foundation EEF	1, 2
<i>Resources and staff develop- ment / Staff time</i>	https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/educa- tion- evidence/guidance-reports/literacy-ks3-ks4 https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/educa- tion- evidence/guidance-reports/literacy-ks2	
Online re- sources to	Digital resources to support regular practice and knowledge recall:	1, 2

support stu- dents to know and re- member more (i.e., home learn- ing and en- richment / in- class pack- ages)	 e.g., SPARX Maths; Seneca (Science); MFL – Lin- guascope; GCSE Pod (all subjects) This is supplemented by students having regular access to devices – both in school and as an after- school sessions – but also at home, through the school's loan service where disadvantaged and SEND students have priority ac- cess to device loans where required. <u>https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/educa- tion- evidence/guidance-reports/digital</u> 	
Quality As- surance, Administra- tion and Staffing and costs to en- sure con- sistent, high- quality T&L through ef- fective imple- mentation.	https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/educa- tion- evidence/guidance-reports/implementation Capacity for and implementation of all strategies from leadership to classroom level e.g. Every Lesson walks. Support for staff through bespoke training, as/when required. Performance Development. Literacy Co-coordinator appointed to support depart- ments to develop curriculums that enable disadvan- taged students to make better progress; specifically focusing on disciplinary literacy. Add to curriculum QA.	1 - 5

Targeted academic support (for example, tutoring, one-to-one support, structured interventions)

Budgeted cost: £99,120

Activity	Evidence that supports this approach	Challenge number(s) addressed
Bedrock Vocabulary Intervention Y7-11	Bedrock closes language gaps by explicitly teaching vocabu- lary in a long-term digital pro- gramme. Students are se- lected using STAR testing and SSRT where required.	1, 2
<i>Licenses and LSA time to support in- terventions (Y7 and 8) (SODA)</i>	Program records pre and post- test scores and suggests who needs additional support. Stu- dents are given a device to use at home if required. Vocabulary targeted to support comprehension and reading.	1,2

	https://educationendow- mentfoundation.org.uk/e ducation-evidence/guidance- reports/literacy-ks3- ks4 https://educationendow- mentfoundation.org.uk/e ducation-evidence/guidance- reports/teaching- assistants	
<i>Lexia (Power Up) online</i> <i>reading intervention</i> <i>Licenses purchased and LSAs</i> <i>are required to facilitate,</i> <i>monitor and support stu-</i> <i>dents as they complete Lexia</i> <i>online in small groups and at</i> <i>home.</i>	Lexia aims to improve reading skills and is developed by Lexia Learning Systems LLC. It consists of three elements: personalised online student activities, real- time reporting of student pro- gress, and paper-based resources to guide teacher instruction where needed. <u>https://educationendow- mentfoundation.org.uk/projec ts-and-evaluation/projects/lexia https://educationendow- mentfoundation.org.uk/educat ion-evidence/guidance-re- ports/teaching-assistants</u>	1,2
<i>RWI: Read Write Inc</i> Structured phonics interven- tion in small groups (Y7-8) with an LSA/HLTA to de- velop reading fluency/speed to support comprehension, vocabulary and spelling.	Phonics literacy programme which supports students with lower reading ages – working in small group interventions with a trained LSA. Training to refresh staff knowledge and equip new staff with relevant skills to deliver RWI effectively. <u>https://educationendow- mentfoundation.org.uk/educat</u> ion-evidence/guidance-re- ports/teaching-assistants <u>https://educationendow- mentfoundation.org.uk/educat</u> ion-evidence/teaching-learn- ing-toolkit/phonics	1,2
<i>EAL Coordinator / Literacy</i> <i>Support</i> <i>Appointed EAL coordinator to work</i> <i>with EAL students and also deliver</i>	Working as a teaching assistant for disadvantaged EAL learners (in- cluding but not limited to refugees and asylum seekers)	2, 4

disadvantaged students. Support- ing in the creation and delivery of reading comprehension pro- gramme to bridge the gap be- tween RWI and expected literacy levels.	Teaching Assistant Interven- tions EEFProviding literacy support to small groups of disadvantaged students Small group tuition EEFCreating a literacy programme that bridges the gap between the RWI programme and expected literacy levels, moving out of Y7 transition and KS3.	
Maths Intervention as part of Tutor time (SODA): Small Group targeted inter- ventions / teacher time / nu- meracy resources	Improving Literacy in Second- ary Schools EEF section 7. Small group, targeted interven- tions to improve Maths 7+ grades – based on marginal gains and emerging gaps at GCSE identified in past papers. Small group tuition Toolkit Strand Education Endow- ment Foundation EEF https://educationendow- mentfoundation.org.uk/educ ation-evidence/guidance-re- ports/maths-ks-2-3	1
<i>Reciprocal Reading</i> <i>Targeted, small group reciprocal</i> <i>reading sessions to develop vo-</i> <i>cabulary, reading and compre-</i> <i>hension</i>	Reading comprehension strate- gies can have a positive impact on pupils' ability to understand a text, and this is particularly the case when interventions are delivered over a shorter time span: <u>Reading comprehension</u> <u>strategies Toolkit Strand Education Endowment Foundation EEF Small group tuition Toolkit Strand Education Endow- ment Foundation EEF</u>	1, 2

	Lead Teack we be used	
Literacy and Maths	Lead Teachers to work	1, 2
Transition projects	on Transition projects for	
Teacher release, staff-	Summer 2025	
ing and resources.	https://educationendow-	
	mentfoundation.org.uk/educat	
	ion-evidence/guidance-re-	
	ports/maths-ks-2-3	
	https://educationendow-	
	mentfoundation.org.uk/educa tion-evidence/guidance-re-	
	ports/literacy-ks3-ks4	
Purchase of standardised	Standardised tests and tracking	1, 2
diagnostic assessments	systems can provide reliable in-	
and tracking e.g. STAR	sights into the specific strengths	
reading / RWI / pupil pro-	and weaknesses of students to	
gress	help ensure they receive the	
	correct additional support	
	through interventions or teacher instruction:	
	Standardised tests As-	
	sessing and Monitoring	
	Pupil Progress Educa-	
	tion Endowment Founda-	
	tion EEF	
Bespoke Summer Camp	https://educationendow-	1, 2, 4, 5
Targeting Year 6 disad-	mentfoundation.or g.uk/educa-	, , , -
vantaged, vulnerable and	tion-evidence/teaching- learning-	
SEND students	toolkit/summer-schools	
Staffing (Lead, teaching	Summer School to target stu-	
and support staff) and re-	dents who may find the transi-	
sources.	tion to secondary school more	
	challenging. The EEF suggests	
	that summer school can support	
	students to engage and attend through a blend of academic and	
	wider approaches. Camp would	
	aim to foster better belonging	
	and attendance to school, in ad-	
	dition to mitigating the summer	
	'dip' in attainment.	
Cameron Parker motivation	Targeted one-off workshop	1 2 3 /
Cameron Parker motivation workshop	Targeted one-off workshop based around academic mentor-	1, 2, 3, 4
		1, 2, 3, 4
	based around academic mentor-	1, 2, 3, 4
	based around academic mentor- ing and aspiration with methods	1, 2, 3, 4
	based around academic mentor- ing and aspiration with methods of recall and memory tech- niques.	1, 2, 3, 4
	based around academic mentor- ing and aspiration with methods of recall and memory tech-	1, 2, 3, 4

	evidence/teaching-learning-	
	toolkit/mentoring	
<i>Quality Assurance, Admin- istration and Staffing costs</i> <i>to ensure consistent interven-</i> <i>tion accountability processes.</i> <i>Teacher and leader cover / ad-</i> <i>min for RAP meetings</i>	RAP (Raising Attainment and Progress) meetings across Departments and Year Groups – using the EEF implementa- tion guidance re: monitoring and evaluation.https://educationendow- mentfoundation.org.uk/educa tion-evidence/guidance-re- ports/implementationRaising achievement and pro- gress meetings with curriculum leaders, pastoral and senior	1 - 5
Interventions to acceler- ate progress in English and maths	leaders.Funding to support additionalYear 11 interventions, as re-quired (prioritising English)	1
Staff time and resources Pro- gramme costs (if external)	and Maths): e.g. Strive for Five (incl Science) / Holiday Interventions / Pupil Progress tracking, super learning days and drop-down sessions.	
Homework Club provision / Study Hub resources / Providing resources for stu- dents to take home	Students from disadvantaged backgrounds are less likely to have a quiet working space, are less likely to have access to a device suitable for learning or a stable internet connection and may receive less parental sup- port to complete homework and develop effective learning hab- its. These difficulties may in- crease the gap in attainment for disadvantaged pupils.	1 - 5
	We offer a homework club each day, a fully stocked Study Hub and curriculum areas can help students to overcome barriers by offering the resources and sup- port needed to undertake home- work or revision.	
	This is supplemented by stu- dents having regular access to devices both before/after school and at home, through the	

	school's loan service where dis- advantaged and SEND students have priority access to device loans where required/re- quested/referred. <u>https://educationendow- mentfoundation.org.uk/edu- cat</u> ion-evidence/teaching- learning-toolkit/homework	
<i>Provision Mapper totrack impact of academic and wider interventions</i>	Tracking impact is essential for monitoringprogress and impact of all interventions. With the large range of interventions in place/planned, it makes sense to explore more efficient ways of monitoring impact:	1, 2, 4, 5
	https://educationendow- mentfoundation.org.uk/educa tion-evidence/guidance-re- ports/implementation Provision Map can assist schools with EEF SEND rec- ommendations 4 and 5 in par- ticular:	
	https://educationendow- mentfoundation.org.uk/edu- cat ion-evidence/guidance-re- ports/send	

Wider strategies (for example, related to attendance, behaviour, wellbeing)

Budgeted cost: £148,680

Activity	Evidence that supports this approach	Challenge number(s) addressed
Adopt Golddigger Trust Growth Programme for specific students who re- quire support with regulat- ing their behaviour and emotions – some of whom have extensive attendance and/or behaviour con- cerns.	Evidence suggests that structured, posi- tivementoring likely to impact upon en- gagement to school, behaviour and at- tendance, which could therefore link to an effect on better outcomes. Our aim for the Golddigger Trust Growth Pro- gramme is to engage and support stu- dents to feel a greater sense of belong-	3, 4, 5

<i>Costs include mentor staffing 1 day perweek.</i>	ing, promote wellbeing, improved be- haviour/self-regulation, and increased attendance. <u>https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/educ</u> <u>ation-evidence/teaching-learning-toolkit/mentoring</u>	
Adoption of a cognitive behavioural therapy (CBT) intervention for students who are in crisis and/or requiresupport with regulating behaviour and emotions. Trust Counsellor appointed 2 days per week at Ecclesfield	There is evidence to suggest that CBT canhave a high impact on risky behav- iours and behavioural difficulties: <u>Cognitive Behavioural Therapy -</u> <u>YouthEndowment Fund</u> EIF's report on adolescent mental health found good evidence that CBT interven- tions support young people's socialand emotional skills and can reduce symp- toms of anxiety and depression:	3, 4, 5
	Adolescent mental health: A system- atic review on the effectiveness of school-based interventions Early Intervention Foundation (eif.org.uk)	
Improve attendance through embedding principles of good practiceset out in DfE's advice.	The DfE guidance has been informed by eggenet with schools that have sig- nificantly reduced persistent absence levels.	4, 5
Costs include: Staffing, training and release the to develop and imple- ment procedures. Attendance staff ap- pointed (three attend- ance officers), and supported to improve attendance and well- being (at the heart of many attendance con- cerns) Staff training around highlighting andsup- porting attendance.	Working together to improve school attendance (applies from 19 August 2024) (publishing.service.gov.uk)	
Targeted attendance re- wards and incentives.		
Student Wellbeing Of- ficer Additional staff capacity to support staffdevelop- ment, student welfare,	Full time role, targeting students and groups for support, based on pastoralreferrals. PSHCE lessons in- clude SEMHsignposting.	3, 4, 5

· · · ·		·
attendance and deliver targeted wellbeing inter- ventions; support parent and/or external agency liaison.	Using research and guidance to support mental health and wellbeing e.g. <u>School and college resources</u> <u>Anna Freud</u> Working with parents: <u>https://educationendowmentfounda-</u> <u>tion.org.uk/education-evidence/teaching-</u> <u>learning-toolkit/parental- engagement</u> Additional leadership to drive and im-	4, 5
and Inclusion Manga	provestudent wellbeing, attendance and safeguarding of disadvantaged and vul- nerable groups – across alternative pro- vision and within school.	
<i>Student Enrichment and Engagement Of- ficer</i>	Additional staffing to mentor and support sdat wellbeing, attendance and provide additional safeguarding of disadvantaged and vulnerable groups and parental sup- port. To support with enrichment and the running of breakfast club.	4, 5
Disadvantaged student mentoring – Trusted Adult Programme Time if required in spe- cific cases e.g. cover in tutor time; resources re- wards.Workshop.	Staff volunteers act as positive role models and build confidence and rela- tionships, to develop resilience and character, or raise aspirations, rather than to develop specific academic skills or knowledge. Staff CPD to develop metacognition where possible in ses- sions. Primarily disadvantaged/SEND KS4students. Project that every disad- vantaged learning has a trusted adult. <u>https://educationendowmentfounda- tion.org.uk/education-evidence/teaching- learning-toolkit/mentoring</u>	3, 4, 5
Pupil Premium Cham- pion Costs for one member of staff to support the development of the EN- ABLE strategy: Attend- ance project mentoring – improving attendance	Targeting improvements in attendance and belonging (those with low attend- ance but are attending school) for disad- vantaged students. <u>Mentoring EEF</u>	3, 4, 5
Targeted pastoral in- terventions e.g.Mighty Minds, Zones of Regu-	https://educationendowmentfounda- tion.org.uk/education-evidence/teach- ing-learning-toolkit/social-and- emo- tional-learning	3, 4, 5

<i>lation (social and emo- tionallearning)</i> <i>Staff development / staff time to support students and offer nu- merousinterventions to address improved be- haviour, wellbeing, and attendance</i>	Although weaker evidence bases on im- pact on attainment, targeted rather thangeneral approaches have a greater impact on wellbeing, engagement and attendance.	
Bounce Together Monitoring and tracking tool for well-being.	Survey data provides evidence to priori- tise and target support effectively. Results from survey will help our school understand student well-being barriers and provide appropriate intervention. Bounce Together can also provide well- being data of staff and parents.	1, 4, 5
Breakfast Club Costs include food, staffing andresources when re- quired	Informal mentoring (staff and peer-to- peer), breakfast and homework support each morning. Research suggests that students who attend are more likely to feel settled, focus well and behave better throughout the day. <u>https://educationendowmentfounda- tion.org.uk/projects-and- evalua- tion/projects/magic-breakfast</u>	3, 4, 5
Enrichment: Enrichment opportu- nities for disadvan- taged students through 'PP Bids' e.g. Funded peripatetic music lessons; support to ac- cess to trips; funded taster sessions.	Boosting attendance to enrichment for disadvantaged students can help build belonging and increase attend- ance andengagement to school. Staff asked to aim for a minimum of 30% disadvantaged attendance at every enrichment activity (arts, sports., trips,etc) as part of the EN- ABLE strategy. Students are more likely to attend if theyhave access to snacks and are in- vited toattend. <u>https://educationendowmentfounda- tion.org.uk/education-evidence/teach- ing-learning-toolkit/physical- activity</u>	3, 4, 5

Pupil Premium Funding Bids: Staff invited to make small funding bidsfor projects to drive ele- ments of the ENABLE strategy	https://educationendowmentfounda- tion.org.uk/education-evi- dence/teaching-learning-toolkit/arts- participationTermly bid windows opened where staff can request small amounts which supportENABLE:Excellent Teaching and Learning• Excellent Teaching and LearningNo excuses• AttendanceBelonging (incl. behaviour, increased rewards & well- being)• Literacy • EnrichmentEnrichment	
Y6 Transition support: Pupil premium stu- dents provided uni- form and equipment upon entry. Summer school organised for our most vulnerable students.	Students upon entry will be provided with school uniform including school logo clothing, where cases of hardship are identified. Students will also be provided with a bag and equipment. Based on behaviour logs this will re- duce the number of behaviour points a pupil premium child will receive and increase engagement and this barrier to their learning will be removed. Summer school organised (staffing and activities) to support the transition of our most vulnerable students. This will increase our knowledge of their needs and increase students' confi- dence in the school, build relationships with staff and peers.	1, 4, 5
ClassCharts Use of ClassCharts for at- tendance, behaviour, merits, rewards, parental communication etc. Use of ClassCharts to better monitor and track behaviour and attendance, as well as increasing the school's re- wards package to foster be- longing. ClassCharts also allows for improved communication between parents, students, and school.	ClassCharts allows students and parents to access an easy-to-use app where stu- dents and parents have a clear view of merits, behaviour points, and attendance, amongst other things. This involves par- ents significantly more in their children's learning activities. Parental engagement EEF ClassCharts allows for a rewards shop where students can spend their merits on prizes. It is also allows for easier personal- isation of rewards and merits, directly linked to our ATL criteria. This helps with metacognition positive behaviour manage- ment. It is also in line with our Enable strategy to improve students' sense of be- longing.	4, 5

	2. Build a culture of community and belonging for pupils EEF	
	Disadvantaged students are easily identifi- able using the seating plan tool.	
<i>Contingency fund for acute issues</i>	Based on our experiences and those of similar schools to ours, we have identified are to set a small amount of funding aside to respond quickly to needs that have not yet been identi- fied.	1-5

Total budgeted cost: £495,600

Part B: Review of the previous academic year 23/24

Outcomes for disadvantaged pupils

We have analysed the performance of our school's disadvantaged pupils during the 2023/24 academic year using key stage 4 performance data and our own internal assessments.

For 2024, the Progress 8 score (which is a measure of how much progress pupils at this school made across 8 qualifications between the end of KS2 and the end of KS4, compared to other similar pupils nationally) for our disadvantaged pupils was -0.81. For Attainment 8 (which is a measure of GCSE attainment across 8 subjects) it was 3.17. *See <u>DfE guidance</u> for more information about KS4 performance measures.*

	2019	2022	2023	2024
P8	-0.37	-0.46	-0.91	-0.81
A8	3.7	3.7	3.1	3.17

We have compared our results to national figures to help gauge the performance of our disadvantaged pupils (although these should be considered with caution given the caveats stated above).

The national Attainment 8 score for disadvantaged pupils in 2022/23 was 35.0 (Ecclesfield 31.67) and for non-disadvantaged pupils it was 50.3 (Ecclesfield 46.84). For Progress 8, the national average score for disadvantaged pupils was -0.57 (Ecclesfield -0.81) and for non-disadvantaged pupils it was 0.17 (Ecclesfield 0.00).

Disadvantaged students at Ecclesfield School made better progress in 2024 compared to 2023 (+0.10 increase). However, when compared to the progress of non-disadvantaged students, they were over three quarters of a grade lower on average (-0.81 gap).

The gap between the Progress 8 scores of our disadvantaged and non-disadvantaged students has grown since the pandemic.

		2022			2023			2024	
	PP	Non- PP	GAP	PP	Non- PP	GAP	PP	Non- PP	GAP
P8	-0.46	0.10	-0.56	-0.91	-0.08	-0.83	-0.81	0.00	-0.81

PROGRESS

• Disadvantaged student progress has declined since 2019 -0.37 (2019) -0.38 (2020) -0.37 (2021) -0.46 (2022) -0.91 (2023) -0.81 (2024).

• P8 gap has widened since 2019:

-0.50 (2019) -0.71 (2020) -1.14 (2021) -0.56 (2022) -0.83 (2023) -0.81 (2024).

- All prior attaining groups under performed in summer 2024: LPA –0.70 / MPA – 0.82 / HPA –1.02.

ATTAINMENT

• Disadvantaged student attainment has slowly declined in the last 5 years: 3.74 (2019) 3.77 (2020) 3.72 (2021) 3.67 (2022) 3.15 (2023) 3.17 (2024).

• The Disadvantaged Gap Index (Dis. E+M attainment compared to non-dis peers) has improved between 2023 and 2024.

• Grade 4+ E&M was 28% in summer 2023 compared to 36% in summer 2024.

- Grade 5+ E&M was 14% in summer 2023 compared to 19% in summer 2024.
- EBACC entry was 49.5% for disadvantaged students in summer 2024.

• Attainment is weakest for those disadvantaged students who are low to middle prior attainers i.e. 1.96 (LPA) / 3.46 (MPA) / 5.51 (HPA).

ABSENCE

Absence among disadvantaged pupils was 10.11% higher than their peers in 2023/24 and persistent absence (all students) 32.5% higher than their peers. We recognise this gap is too large which is why raising the attendance of our disadvantaged pupils is a focus of our current plan.

23/24 Attendance PP	83.02%	
23/24 Attendance Non-PP	93.13%	
23/24 Persistent Absence PP	49.91%	
23/24 Persistent Absence Non-PP	17.41%	

WELLBEING

We continue to utilise funding to secure additional staffing to support the challenges around student wellbeing and mental health. Interventions and student voice showed that although those receiving support were positively impacted (e.g. increased attendance, approach to learning and behaviour), numbers needing additional and specialist support remain significantly higher than before the pandemic. The impact on disadvantaged pupils has been particularly acute. We aim to screen all students to assess and support student wellbeing further in 2024-25.

BEHAVIOUR

On average disadvantaged students' negative behaviour points decreased in 2023-24 by 2.2%, non-disadvantaged decreased by 1.7%. Targeting disadvantaged and SEND students for mentoring and wellbeing support have supported this decrease.

The suspension rate for disadvantaged students has reduced from 2022-23 to 2023-24 by 1.4%, whereas for non-disadvantaged it increased by 4.5%

SUMMARY:

Key stage 4 data and our internal assessments suggest that **we are not at present on course to achieve the outcomes that we set out to achieve by 2024/25,** as stated in the Intended Outcomes section above. We have reviewed our strategy plan and made changes to how we intend to use some of our budget this academic year, as set out in the Activity in This Academic Year section above. The Further Information section below provides more details about our planning, implementation, and evaluation processes.

Externally provided programmes

Programme	Provider
Golddigger Trust, Growth Programme.	Golddigger Trust
Children's Emotional & Behavioural Psychology Service - Experts in Children's Emotional Well-being	Unravel
ClassCharts	ClassCharts
Bedrock Vocabulary	Bedrock Learning
Accelerated Reader including STAR testing	Renaissance Reading
Lexia Power Up (Core 5)	Lexia Learning
PiXL Secondary	PiXL
GCSE Pod	Access Group
Lucid Exact	GL Assessment
SPARX Maths	SPARX Maths
Linguascope	Linguascope
Active Learn	Pearson
EVOLVE CLUBS	Evolve

Service pupil premium funding (optional)

For schools that receive this funding, you may wish to provide the following information: How our service pupil premium allocation was spent last academic year

In 2023-24 we provided mentoring and support for those SPP children who required it.

The impact of that spending on service pupil premium eligible pupils

Student voice indicated that they felt well supported by mentoring. This is in place for 2023-24 (one hour per week where appropriate / drop-ins as required).

Further information (optional)

Ecclesfield's ENABLE Strategy

Our 'ENABLE' strategy is a longer-term plan that underpins everything that we do. The strategy seeks to improve the quality of provision for all students but specifically addresses the challenges that are pertinent to our disadvantaged students. The strategy summarises the key challenges to achievement that we have identified and also outlines how we will overcome those challenges. The infographic is also colourcoded to highlight the Education Endowment Foundation's three-tiered model.

The tiered approach to Pupil Premium spending | Education Endowment Foundation

